



**STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE
AND FINANCIAL SERVICES**

**Janet T. Mills
Governor**

**Kristen Figueroa
Commissioner**

09/10/2025

LINEV Systems US, Inc.
13631 Poplar Circle,
Conroe, Texas 77304

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 202507098
BGS Security Screening Equipment and Software**

Dear Kevin Weeks,

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Proposals (RFP), issued by the State of Maine Department of Department of Administrative and Financial Services for BGS Security Screening Equipment and Software. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- CSOB & State House Weapons Detection System: Liberty Defense Technologies
- CSOB & State House X-Ray: LINEV Systems US, Inc.
- CSOB & State House Turnstiles: No award, no proposals received
- State Postal X-Ray: No award, no proposals received

The bidders listed above received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Kristen Haskell

Kristen Haskell, Chief Engineer, Project Manager
Planning, Design & Construction Division
Bureau of General Services
77 State House Station
111 Sewall Street, 4th floor
Augusta, Maine 04333
Email: Kristen.Haskell@Maine.gov
Phone: 207.592.4063

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Office of State Procurement Services [formerly the Division of Purchases], Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE
AND FINANCIAL SERVICES**

**Janet T. Mills
Governor**

**Kristen Figeroa
Commissioner**

09/10/2025

Liberty Defense Technologies
187 Ballardvale Street, Suite 110
Wilmington, MA 01887

**SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFP # 202507098
BGS Security Screening Equipment and Software**

Dear Michael Iarrobino,

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Proposals (RFP), issued by the State of Maine Department of Department of Administrative and Financial Services for BGS Security Screening Equipment and Software. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

- CSOB & State House Weapons Detection System: Liberty Defense Technologies
- CSOB & State House X-Ray: LINEV Systems US, Inc.
- CSOB & State House Turnstiles: No award, no proposals received
- State Postal X-Ray: No award, no proposals received

The bidders listed above received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Kristen Haskell

Kristen Haskell, Chief Engineer, Project Manager
Planning, Design & Construction Division
Bureau of General Services
77 State House Station
111 Sewall Street, 4th floor
Augusta, Maine 04333
Email: Kristen.Haskell@Maine.gov
Phone: 207.592.4063

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Office of State Procurement Services [formerly the Division of Purchases], Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: SECURITY SCREENING EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE

BIDDER NAME: LINEV

DATE: 08/20/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Karen Knox, Sharon Horne, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Consensus Comments - Technical Assessment Team:

Consensus Decision: <i>Not Met</i>		
Overall quality of response and evidence: The bidder's response was a standalone non-network solution that did not meet the requirements of the RFP. MaineIT would have to conduct further vetting prior to accepting the solution.		
Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		Explanation: <i>Complied with no evidence</i>
	Maine FOAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		Explanation: <i>Complied with no evidence</i>
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		Explanation: <i>Bidder claims no PII, state disagrees (low).</i>
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		Explanation: <i>Bidder claims no PII, state disagrees (low).</i>
	Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		Explanation: <i>Bidder claims no PII, state disagrees (low).</i>

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: SECURITY SCREENING EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE

BIDDER NAME: LINEV

DATE: 08/20/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Karen Knox, Sharon Horne, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Bidder claims no PII, state disagrees (low).
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Bidder responded not networked which does not meet requirements of the RFP
MaineIT		
H1		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: The bidder answered NA
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Does not meet the requirements of the RFP
H2		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: The bidder answered NA
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Does not meet the requirements of the RFP
H3		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: The bidder answered NA
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Does not meet the requirements of the RFP
A1		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: The bidder answered NA
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Did don't meet the requirements of the RFP
A2		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: The bidder answered NA
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Did don't meet the requirements of the RFP
A3		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: The bidder answered NA

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: SECURITY SCREENING EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE

BIDDER NAME: LINEV

DATE: 08/20/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Karen Knox, Sharon Horne, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Did don't meet the requirements of the RFP
A4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder answered NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Did don't meet the requirements of the RFP
Information Security Standards	
S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Response does not meet the requirements of the RFP
S2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Bidder did not provide evidence of how they do this
S3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Response does not meet the requirements of the RFP
S4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Provided a data breach appetite statement instead of cyber risk appetite statement
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Bidder answered NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Bidder answered NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: SECURITY SCREENING EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE

BIDDER NAME: LINEV

DATE: 08/20/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Karen Knox, Sharon Horne, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Bidder answered NA</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Bidder answered NA</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Bidder answered NA</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Bidder answered NA</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Bidder answered NA</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Bidder answered NA</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: SECURITY SCREENING EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE

BIDDER NAME: LINEV

DATE: 08/20/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Karen Knox, Sharon Horne, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Bidder answered NA</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Bidder answered NA</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Bidder answered NA</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Bidder answered NA</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Bidder answered NA</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Bidder answered NA</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: SECURITY SCREENING EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE

BIDDER NAME: LINEV

DATE: 08/20/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Karen Knox, Sharon Horne, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Bidder answered NA</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Bidder answered NA</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Bidder answered NA</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Bidder answered NA</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Bidder answered NA</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: RFP requested a network deployment, bidder responded otherwise, and the response does not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: SECURITY SCREENING EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE

BIDDER NAME: LINEV

DATE: 08/20/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Karen Knox, Sharon Horne, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Bidder's response does not align with NIST requirements
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Bidder's response does not align with NIST requirements
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Bidder's response does not align with NIST requirements
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Bidder's response does not align with NIST requirements
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Bidder's response does not align with NIST requirements
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Bidder's response does not align with NIST requirements
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Bidder's response does not align with NIST requirements
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: SECURITY SCREENING EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE

BIDDER NAME: LINEV

DATE: 08/20/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Karen Knox, Sharon Horne, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Bidder's response does not align with NIST requirements</i>
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Bidder's response does not align with NIST requirements</i>
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Bidder's response does not align with NIST requirements</i>
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Bidder's response does not align with NIST requirements</i>
N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Bidder's response does not align with NIST requirements</i>
N13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Bidder's response does not align with NIST requirements</i>
N14	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Bidder had no other comments</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

BIDDER: Linev Systems

DATE: 08/25/2025

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Planning, Design & Construction

Name of RFP Coordinator: Kristen Haskell

Names of Evaluators: Kristen Haskell, John Kenney, Leah Schaffer, Craig Donahue, Andy Giroux, Karen Knox

NOTE: There was only ONE bidder for this equipment

<u>Pass/Fail Criteria</u>	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Preliminary Information (Eligibility)		
• (a) Baggage Scanner shall be a standalone unit	X	
• (b) Dual-view X-ray inspection	X	
• (c) Real-time detection	X	
• (d) Multiple Monitors	X	
• (e) Overall dimension (maximum): 94 inches in length at the conveyor, 53 inches wide, by 56 inches tall. <i>REQUEST OVERALL DIMENSIONS. CUTSHEET SHOWS A BUMP-OUT ON ONE OF THE VIEWS.</i>	X	
• (f) Tunnel dimensions (minimum): 620 mm (w) x 418 mm (h)	X	
• (g) Detection Abilities g.ii-g.ix	X	
• (g.i) Handguns	X	
• (g.ii) Ammunition	X	
• (g.iii) Gun parts	X	
• (g.iv) Knives, including fixed- and switched-blade	X	
• (g.v) Liquids	X	
• (g.vi) Gels	X	
• (g.vii) Plastics	X	
• (g.viii) Powders	X	
• (g.ix) Conventional and improvised explosives	X	
• (h) Instruction & Training h.i-h.ii	X	
• (h.i) For each installed hardware/software system, the awarded Bidder must provide two in-person training sessions with the	X	

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

BIDDER: Linev Systems

DATE: 08/25/2025

manufacturer and/or a certified qualified individual on proper operations, during the initial period of performance.		
• (h.ii) All equipment operation manuals must be provided, electronically.	X	
• (i) PM from bidder i.i-i.ii	X	
• (i.i) On-site Project Manager to work with the State of Maine Project Manager	X	
• (i.ii) Coordinate and oversee delivery and installation of all equipment and software required	X	
• (j) Integration with State Systems j.i-j.iv	X	
• (j.i) System interface must allow security staff to utilize preferred or restricted-use tablets/laptops by establishing a wired connection	X	
• (j.ii) The system must be capable of operating in a stand-alone mode and offer the ability to utilize both a wireless and a wired network	X	
• (j.iii) OIT must provide network transport	X	
• (j.iv) Must provide detailed list of software used in the solution	X	
• (k) Compliance with ME OIT Policies k.i-k.iii	X	
• (k.i) ACCESSIBILITY All IT products must be accessible to persons with disabilities, and must comply with State Accessibility Policy and Standards and the Americans with Disabilities Act. All IT applications must comply with the Digital Accessibility Policy (https://www.maine.gov/oit/sites/maine.gov.oit/files/inline-files/DigitalAccessibilityPolicy.pdf) In addition, all IT applications and content delivered through web browsers must comply with the State Web Standards (https://www.maine.gov/oit/sites/maine.gov.oit/files/inline-files/WebStandards.pdf)	X	
• (k.ii) <u>STATE IT POLICIES</u> : All IT products and services delivered as part of this Agreement must conform to the State IT Policies, Standards, and Procedures (https://www.maine.gov/oit/policies-standards) effective at the time this Agreement is executed	X	
• (k.iii) In addition, and more specifically, all services must be performed using devices, accounts, authentication methods, and virtual private network, provisioned by the Department	X	
• (l) Ongoing Maintenance & Support l.i-l.iii	X	

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

BIDDER: Linev Systems

DATE: 08/25/2025

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (l.i) All systems are updated with the latest general software releases, enhancements, encryptions, and critical security patches within 30 days of release 	X	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (l.ii) All updates must be pre-approved by the State and follow approved change and configuration management processes, with complete documentation provided 	X	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (l.iii) All reported hardware issues or defects must be resolved within a timeframe agreed upon by the Department 	X	
•		
<u>Scoring Sections</u> (Edit sections below to match evaluation criteria within RFP)	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section II. Organization Qualifications and Experience	25	N/A
Section III. Proposed Services	25	N/A
Section IV. Cost Proposal	50	N/A
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>N/A</u>

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

BIDDER: Linev Systems

DATE: 08/25/2025

**OVERVIEW OF SECTION I
Preliminary Information**

Section I. Preliminary Information

Evaluation Team Comments:

I. Proposal Cover Page

Bidders must complete **Appendix A** (Proposal Cover Page). It is critical that the cover page show the specific information requested, including Bidder address(es) and other details listed. The Proposal Cover Page must be dated and signed by a person authorized to enter into contracts on behalf of the Bidder. ***Acceptable.***

II. Responsible Bidder Certification

Bidders must complete **Appendix B** (Responsible Bidder Certification). The Responsible Bidder Certification must be dated and signed by a person authorized to enter into contracts on behalf of the Bidder. ***Acceptable.***

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

BIDDER: Linev Systems

DATE: 08/25/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION II
Organization Qualifications and Experience**

	<u>Points Availabl e</u>	<u>Points Awarde d</u>
Section II. Organization Qualifications and Experience	25	N/A

Evaluation Team Comments:

I. Overview of the Organization

Bidders must complete **Appendix C** (Qualifications and Experience Form) describing their qualifications and skills to provide the requested services in the RFP. Bidders must include three (3) examples of projects which demonstrate their experience and expertise in performing these services, as well as highlighting the Bidder's stated qualifications and skills.

Bidder appears to be qualified and have the skills to provide the requested service. Three example projects provided.

II. Subcontractors

If subcontractors are to be used, Bidders must provide a list that specifies the name, address, phone number, contact person, and a brief description of the subcontractors' organizational capacity and qualifications.

No subcontractors.

III. Organizational Chart

Bidders must provide an organizational chart. The organizational chart must include the project being proposed. Each position must be identified by position title and corresponding to the personnel job descriptions.

Organizational chart provided and acceptable.

IV. Litigation

Bidders must attach a list of all current litigation in which the Bidder is named and a list of all closed cases that have closed within the past five (5) years in which the Bidder paid the claimant either as part of a settlement or by decree. For each, list the entity bringing suit, the complaint, the accusation, amount, and outcome.

No litigation.

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

BIDDER: Linev Systems

DATE: 08/25/2025

V. Financial Viability

Bidders must provide the following information for each of the past three tax years:

Balance Sheets

Income (Profit/Loss) Statements

Provided and acceptable.

VI. Licensure/Certification

Bidders may provide documentation of any applicable licensure/certification or specific credentials that are related to providing the proposed services of the RFP.

Provided and acceptable.

VII. Certificate of Insurance

Bidders must provide a certificate of insurance on a standard ACORD form (or the equivalent) evidencing the Bidder's general liability, professional liability and any other relevant liability insurance policies that might be associated with the proposed services

Provided and acceptable.

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

BIDDER: Linev Systems

DATE: 08/25/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION III
Proposed Services**

	<u>Points Availabl e</u>	<u>Points Awarde d</u>
Section III. Proposed Services	25	N/A

Evaluation Team Comments:

I. Technical Assessment

Bidders must complete **Appendix E** (Technical Assessment Form) to describe the Bidder's capability to meet the stated requirements and policies identified in this RFP.

Provided answers, but question remains whether product is network ready. We requested stand-alone but want to be able to connect to a network as a future capability.

→ *Ask vendor whether product has the capability to be networked in the State of Maine system.*

II. Services to be Provided

Bidders must discuss the Scope of Services referenced above in Part II of the RFP and what the Bidder will offer, including a description of the methods and resources the Bidder will use and how each task involved will be accomplished. Bidders must also describe how the expectations and/or desired outcomes as a result of these services will be achieved. If subcontractors are involved, Bidders must clearly identify the work each will perform.

See table above.

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

BIDDER: Linev Systems

DATE: 08/25/2025

→ ***Ask vendor about overall dimensions of the product. Cutsheet shows view of bump-out that appears to overhang the footprint dimensions provided.***

III. Implementation - Work Plan

Bidders must provide a realistic work plan for the implementation of the program through the first contract period. The work plan must be displayed in a timeline chart, and concisely describe each program development and implementation task, the month it will be carried out, and the person or position responsible for each task. If applicable, Bidders must identify all tasks to be delegated to subcontractors.

Work plan provided. It appears to be realistic.

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

BIDDER: Linev Systems

DATE: 08/25/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION IV
Cost Proposal**

Lowest Submitted Cost Proposal		Cost Proposal Being Scored	x	Score Weight	=	Score
			x	50 points	=	N/A

Evaluation Team Comments:

I. General Instructions

- a. Bidders must submit a cost proposal that covers the period starting September 1, 2025 and ending on August 31, 2030.

Dates correct.

- b. The cost proposal must include the costs necessary for the Bidder to fully comply with the contract terms, conditions, and RFP requirements.

Included.

- c. No costs related to the preparation of the proposal for the RFP, or to the negotiation of the contract with the Department, may be included in the proposal. Only costs to be incurred after the contract effective date that are specifically related to the implementation or operation of contracted services may be included.

Correct.

II. Cost Proposal Form Instructions

Bidders must fill out **Appendix D** (Cost Proposal Form), following the instructions detailed here and in the form. Failure to provide the requested information, and to follow the required cost proposal format provided, may result in disqualification or reduction in scoring of the cost proposal, at the discretion of the Department.

Cost proposal form submitted correctly. No concerns.

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: SECURITY SCREENING EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE

BIDDER NAME: Liberty Defense

DATE: 08/20/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Karen Knox, Sharon Horne, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Consensus Comments - Technical Assessment Team:

Consensus Decision: <i>Not Met</i>		
Overall quality of response and evidence: The bidder's response was a standalone non-network solution that did not meet the requirements of the RFP. MaineIT would have to conduct further vetting prior to accepting the solution.		
Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		Explanation: <i>The bidder did not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
	Maine FOAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		Explanation: <i>MaineIT disagrees with the bidder's response to FOAA</i>
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation: <i>Bidder responded with NA</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		Explanation: <i>Bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation: <i>Bidder responded with NA</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		Explanation: <i>Bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
	Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: SECURITY SCREENING EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE

BIDDER NAME: Liberty Defense

DATE: 08/20/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Karen Knox, Sharon Horne, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

		<i>Explanation: Bidder responded with NA</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP</i>
	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation: Bidder responded with NA</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: System has no interaction with OCSE</i>
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	<i>Explanation:</i>	
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	<i>Explanation: The bidder was supposed to provide a URL and all was provided was a model number</i>	
MaineIT		
H1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	<i>Explanation: The bidder responded NA</i>	
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	<i>Explanation: The bidder response did not meet the RFP requirements</i>	
H2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	<i>Explanation: The bidder responded NA</i>	
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	<i>Explanation: The bidder response did not meet the RFP requirements</i>	
H3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	<i>Explanation: The bidder responded NA</i>	
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	<i>Explanation: The bidder response did not meet the RFP requirements</i>	
A1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	<i>Explanation: The bidder responded NA</i>	
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	<i>Explanation: The bidder response did not meet the RFP requirements</i>	
A2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	<i>Explanation: The bidder responded NA</i>	

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: SECURITY SCREENING EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE

BIDDER NAME: Liberty Defense

DATE: 08/20/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Karen Knox, Sharon Horne, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder response did not meet the RFP requirements
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder response did not meet the RFP requirements
A4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder response did not meet the RFP requirements
Information Security Standards	
S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not include how they meet the requirements of the RFP just that they meet it
S2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not include how they meet the requirements of the RFP just that they meet it
S3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not include how they meet the requirements of the RFP just that they meet it
S4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not include how they meet the requirements of the RFP just that they meet it
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: SECURITY SCREENING EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE

BIDDER NAME: Liberty Defense

DATE: 08/20/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Karen Knox, Sharon Horne, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: SECURITY SCREENING EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE

BIDDER NAME: Liberty Defense

DATE: 08/20/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Karen Knox, Sharon Horne, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: SECURITY SCREENING EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE

BIDDER NAME: Liberty Defense

DATE: 08/20/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Karen Knox, Sharon Horne, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: The bidder responded NA

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: SECURITY SCREENING EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE

BIDDER NAME: Liberty Defense

DATE: 08/20/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Karen Knox, Sharon Horne, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: The bidder's response did not meet the requirements of the RFP
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: The bidder's response did not explain how they would meet the RFP requirements
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: The bidder's response did not explain how they would meet the RFP requirements
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: The bidder's response did not explain how they would meet the RFP requirements
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: The bidder's response did not explain how they would meet the RFP requirements
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: The bidder's response did not explain how they would meet the RFP requirements
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: The bidder's response did not explain how they would meet the RFP requirements
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: The bidder's response did not explain how they would meet the RFP requirements
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: The bidder's response did not explain how they would meet the RFP requirements
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: The bidder's response did not explain how they would meet the RFP requirements
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: The bidder's response did not explain how they would meet the RFP requirements
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: SECURITY SCREENING EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE

BIDDER NAME: Liberty Defense

DATE: 08/20/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Karen Knox, Sharon Horne, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: The bidder's response did not explain how they would meet the RFP requirements</i>
N7	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Bidder answered NA</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: The bidder did not respond to the requirements of the RFP</i>
N8	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: The bidder's response did not explain how they would meet the RFP requirements</i>
N9	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: The bidder's response did not explain how they would meet the RFP requirements</i>
N10	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: The bidder's response did not explain how they would meet the RFP requirements</i>
N11	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: The bidder's response did not explain how they would meet the RFP requirements</i>
N12	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: SECURITY SCREENING EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE

BIDDER NAME: Liberty Defense

DATE: 08/20/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Karen Knox, Sharon Horne, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: The bidder's response did not explain how they would meet the RFP requirements</i>
N13	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: The bidder's response did not explain how they would meet the RFP requirements</i>
N14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: The bidder did not provide any additional comments</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

BIDDER: Liberty Defense

DATE: 08/25/2025

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Planning, Design & Construction

Name of RFP Coordinator: Kristen Haskell

Names of Evaluators: Kristen Haskell, John Kenney, Leah Schaffer, Craig Donahue, Andy Giroux, Karen Knox

NOTE: There was only ONE bidder for this equipment

<u>Pass/Fail Criteria</u>	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Preliminary Information (Eligibility)	X	
• (a) Detection Types a.i-a.v		
• (a.i) Weapons	X	
• (a.ii) Conventional and improvised explosives	X	
• (a.iii) Liquids, gels, plastics, and powders	X	
• (a.iv) Metals and ceramics;	X	
• (a.v) Additional objects programmed through machine learning techniques	X	
• (b) Operation locations outdoors, under cover, or indoors	X	
• (b.i) Bidders must provide an FCC Grant of Equipment Authorization to operate indoors/outdoors.	X	
• (c) The system must be capable of screening people bi-directionally	X	
• (d) The system must be operational in both a portal mode and a walk-through mode.	X	
• (e) The system's panel configuration must be open and must not include an overhead panel/archway.	X	
• (f) Instruction/Training f.i-f.ii		
• (f.i) For each installed hardware/software system, the awarded Bidder must provide two in-person training sessions with the manufacturer and/or a certified qualified individual on proper operations, during the initial contract period.	X	
• (f.ii) All equipment operation manuals must be provided, electronically.	X	
• (g) Installation and physical equipment g.i-g.v		
• (g.i) Be capable of moving through a standard-sized doorway without requiring any disassembly	X	

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

BIDDER: Liberty Defense

DATE: 08/25/2025

• (g.ii) Be moved from one access point to another	X	
• (g.iii) Be ready for use, including set up and calibration, within 30 minutes (not including transit time)	X	
• (g.iv) Be easily moved on most floor surfaces using mounted casters	X	
• (g.v) Not exceeding three hundred pounds in total weight.	X	
• (h) Installation of Software h.i-h.iv		
• (h.i) The software must utilize Open Network Video Interface Forum (ONVIF) standards and be able to integrate with various Video Management System (VMS) providers	X	
• (h.ii) The system must include data reporting and analytical capabilities and allow for reports to be exported in a format consistent with Microsoft Excel	X	
• (h.iii) The system must include options for both auditory and visual alerts to indicate threats	X	
• (h.iv) The system must have the ability to change algorithms, divesture requirements, and/or other configurations in 30 minutes or less both by on-site and remote vendor maintenance technicians	X	
• (i) PM from bidder i.i-i.ii		
• (i.i) On-site Project Manager to work with the State of Maine Project Manager	X	
• (i.ii) Coordinate and oversee delivery and installation of all equipment and software required	X	
• (j) Integration with State Systems j.i-j.iv		
• (j.i) System interface must allow security staff to utilize preferred or restricted-use tablets/laptops by establishing a wired connection	X	
• (j.ii) The system must be capable of operating in a stand-alone mode and offer the ability to utilize both a wireless and a wired network	X	
• (j.iii) OIT must provide network transport	X	
• (j.iv) Must provide detailed list of software used in the solution		X
• (k) Compliance with ME OIT Policies k.i-k.iii		
• (k.i) ACCESSIBILITY All IT products must be accessible to persons with disabilities, and must comply with State Accessibility Policy and Standards and the Americans with Disabilities Act. All IT applications must comply with the Digital Accessibility Policy (https://www.maine.gov/oit/sites/maine.gov.oit/files/inline-files/DigitalAccessibilityPolicy.pdf) In addition, all IT applications	X	

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

BIDDER: Liberty Defense

DATE: 08/25/2025

and content delivered through web browsers must comply with the State Web Standards (https://www.maine.gov/oit/sites/maine.gov/oit/files/inlin-e-files/WebStandards.pdf)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (k.ii) STATE IT POLICIES: All IT products and services delivered as part of this Agreement must conform to the State IT Policies, Standards, and Procedures (https://www.maine.gov/oit/policies-standards) effective at the time this Agreement is executed. 	X	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (k.iii) In addition, and more specifically, all services must be performed using devices, accounts, authentication methods, and virtual private network, provisioned by the Department 	X	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (l) Ongoing Maintenance & Support l.i-l.iii 		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (l.i) All systems are updated with the latest general software releases, enhancements, encryptions, and critical security patches within 30 days of release 		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (l.ii) All updates must be pre-approved by the State and follow approved change and configuration management processes, with complete documentation provided 		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (l.iii) All reported hardware issues or defects must be resolved within a timeframe agreed upon by the Department 		
<u>Scoring Sections</u>	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section II. Organization Qualifications and Experience	25	N/A
Section III. Proposed Services	25	N/A
Section IV. Cost Proposal	50	N/A
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>N/A</u>

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

BIDDER: Liberty Defense

DATE: 08/25/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION I
Preliminary Information**

Section I. Preliminary Information

Evaluation Team Comments:

I. Proposal Cover Page

Bidders must complete **Appendix A** (Proposal Cover Page). It is critical that the cover page show the specific information requested, including Bidder address(es) and other details listed. The Proposal Cover Page must be dated and signed by a person authorized to enter into contracts on behalf of the Bidder. ***Acceptable.***

II. Responsible Bidder Certification

Bidders must complete **Appendix B** (Responsible Bidder Certification). The Responsible Bidder Certification must be dated and signed by a person authorized to enter into contracts on behalf of the Bidder. ***Acceptable.***

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

BIDDER: Liberty Defense

DATE: 08/25/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION II
Organization Qualifications and Experience**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section II. Organization Qualifications and Experience	25	N/A

Evaluation Team Comments:

I. Overview of the Organization

Bidders must complete **Appendix C** (Qualifications and Experience Form) describing their qualifications and skills to provide the requested services in the RFP. Bidders must include three (3) examples of projects which demonstrate their experience and expertise in performing these services, as well as highlighting the Bidder's stated qualifications and skills. **Acceptable.**

II. Subcontractors

If subcontractors are to be used, Bidders must provide a list that specifies the name, address, phone number, contact person, and a brief description of the subcontractors' organizational capacity and qualifications. **Acceptable.**

III. Organizational Chart

Bidders must provide an organizational chart. The organizational chart must include the project being proposed. Each position must be identified by position title and corresponding to the personnel job descriptions. **Acceptable.**

IV. Litigation

Bidders must attach a list of all current litigation in which the Bidder is named and a list of all closed cases that have closed within the past five (5) years in which the Bidder paid the claimant either as part of a settlement or by decree. For each, list the entity bringing suit, the complaint, the accusation, amount, and outcome. **No Litigation.**

V. Financial Viability

Bidders must provide the following information for each of the past three tax years:
Balance Sheets
Income (Profit/Loss) Statements

Evaluation team has a concern regarding the financial viability of company. From auditor's report: "We draw attention to Note 1 of the consolidated financial statements, which indicates that the Company incurred a net loss during the year ended December 31, 2024, of \$8,845,163 and had cash outflows from

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

BIDDER: Liberty Defense

DATE: 08/25/2025

operating activities of \$6,469,264. As stated in Note 1, these events and conditions indicate that a material uncertainty exists that may cast significant doubt on the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Our opinion is not modified in respect of this matter."

VI. Licensure/Certification

Bidders may provide documentation of any applicable licensure/certification or specific credentials that are related to providing the proposed services of the RFP. **Acceptable.**

VII. Certificate of Insurance

Bidders must provide a certificate of insurance on a standard ACORD form (or the equivalent) evidencing the Bidder's general liability, professional liability and any other relevant liability insurance policies that might be associated with the proposed services. **ACORD form not provided. The insurer provided a document stating that bidder has insurance, but type and coverage not indicated.**

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

BIDDER: Liberty Defense

DATE: 08/25/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION III
Proposed Services**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section III. Proposed Services	25	N/A

Evaluation Team Comments:

I. Technical Assessment

Bidders must complete **Appendix E** (Technical Assessment Form) to describe the Bidder's capability to meet the stated requirements and policies identified in this RFP.

Provided answers, but question remains whether product is network ready. We requested stand-alone but want to be able to connect to a network as a future capability.

→ *Ask vendor whether product has the capability to be networked in the State of Maine system.*

II. Services to be Provided

Bidders must discuss the Scope of Services referenced above in Part II of the RFP and what the Bidder will offer, including a description of the methods and resources the Bidder will use and how each task involved will be accomplished. Bidders must also describe how the expectations and/or desired outcomes as a result of these services will be achieved. If subcontractors are involved, Bidders must clearly identify the work each will perform.

See table above.

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

BIDDER: Liberty Defense

DATE: 08/25/2025

III. Implementation - Work Plan

Bidders must provide a realistic work plan for the implementation of the program through the first contract period. The work plan must be displayed in a timeline chart, and concisely describe each program development and implementation task, the month it will be carried out, and the person or position responsible for each task. If applicable, Bidders must identify all tasks to be delegated to subcontractors.

CONFIRM TIME REQUIRED FOR UPDATES. ASSUME TIME PROVIDED IN SCHEDULE CONSISTS OF TRAVEL TIME AS WELL AS THE DOWNTIME REQUIRED FOR UPDATES.

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

BIDDER: Liberty Defense

DATE: 08/25/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION IV
Cost Proposal**

Lowest Submitted Cost Proposal	,	Cost Proposal Being Scored	x	Score Weight	=	Score
	,		x	50 points	=	N/A

Evaluation Team Comments:

I. General Instructions

- a. Bidders must submit a cost proposal that covers the period starting September 1, 2025 and ending on August 31, 2030.

Dates correct.

- b. The cost proposal must include the costs necessary for the Bidder to fully comply with the contract terms, conditions, and RFP requirements.

Included.

- c. No costs related to the preparation of the proposal for the RFP, or to the negotiation of the contract with the Department, may be included in the proposal. Only costs to be incurred after the contract effective date that are specifically related to the implementation or operation of contracted services may be included.

Correct.

II. Cost Proposal Form Instructions

Bidders must fill out **Appendix D** (Cost Proposal Form), following the instructions detailed here and in the form. Failure to provide the requested information, and to follow the required cost proposal format provided, may result in disqualification or reduction in scoring of the cost proposal, at the discretion of the Department.

UPFRONT COST WITHIN BUDGET. ON-GOING SUPPORT COST HIGHER THAN EXPECTED. ARE PRICES LOCKED IN UNTIL END OF AGREEMENT IN 2030?



STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE
AND FINANCIAL SERVICES

Janet T. Mills
Governor

Kirsten Figueroa
Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
RFP #: 202807098
RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

I, Kristen Haskell accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Administrative and Financial Services. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

Signed by:

Kristen Haskell

41886B030939454...

Signature

8/12/2025

Date



**STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE
AND FINANCIAL SERVICES**

**Janet T. Mills
Governor**

**Kirsten Figueroa
Commissioner**

**AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
RFP #: 202807098
RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software**

I, John Kenney accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Administrative and Financial Services. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

Signed by:

John Kenney

5B30C3F492FD433...

Signature

8/13/2025

Date



**STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE
AND FINANCIAL SERVICES**

**Janet T. Mills
Governor**

**Kirsten Figueroa
Commissioner**

**AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
RFP #: 202807098
RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software**

I, Leah Schaffer accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Administrative and Financial Services. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

Signed by:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Leah Schaffer".
E4F215105EDD462...

Signature

8/12/2025

Date



**STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE
AND FINANCIAL SERVICES**

**Janet T. Mills
Governor**

**Kirsten Figueroa
Commissioner**

**AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
RFP #: 202807098
RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software**

I, Craig Donahue accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Administrative and Financial Services. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

Signed by:

Craig Donahue

2627ABE85DFB406...

Signature

8/18/2025

Date



STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE
AND FINANCIAL SERVICES

Janet T. Mills
Governor

Kirsten Figueroa
Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
RFP #: 202807098
RFP TITLE: Security Screening Equipment and Software

I, Andrew Giroux accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Administrative and Financial Services. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

DocuSigned by:

Andrew Giroux

603AB5ADF624498...

Signature

8/13/2025

Date



**STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE
AND FINANCIAL SERVICES**

**Janet T. Mills
Governor**

**Kirsten Figueroa
Commissioner**

**AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
RFP #: 202507098**

RFP TITLE: BGS Security Screening Equipment and Software

I, Karen Knox accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Administrative and Financial Services. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

DocuSigned by:

F804AB839FE645C...
Signature

8/11/2025

Date



**STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE
AND FINANCIAL SERVICES**

**Janet T. Mills
Governor**

**Kirsten Figueroa
Commissioner**

**AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
RFP #: 202507098**

RFP TITLE: BGS Security Screening Equipment and Software

I, Sharon Horne accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Administrative and Financial Services. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

Signed by:
Sharon Horne
281DC2FD1AB140B...
signature

8/11/2025

Date



STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE
AND FINANCIAL SERVICES

Janet T. Mills
Governor

Kirsten Figueroa
Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
RFP #: 202507098

RFP TITLE: BGS Security Screening Equipment and Software

I, Matthew Keene accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Administrative and Financial Services. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

Signed by:
Matthew Keene
signature

8/11/2025

Date