**STATE OF MAINE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS**



**RFP SUBMITTED QUESTIONS & ANSWERS SUMMARY**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RFP NUMBER AND TITLE:** | RFP# 202409168 Climate Vulnerability Assessment of State Assets |
| **RFP ISSUED BY:** | Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future |
| **SUBMITTED QUESTIONS DUE DATE:** | October 4,2024 |
| **QUESTION & ANSWER SUMMARY ISSUED:** | October 23,2024 |
| **PROPOSAL DUE DATE:** | November 1,2024, no later than 11:59 p.m. local time |
| **PROPOSALS DUE TO:** | [Proposals@maine.gov](mailto:Proposals@maine.gov) |

**Provided below are submitted written questions received and the Department’s answer.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **1** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part I, B, Page 6 | The RFP states, “The proposal must be signed by a person authorized to legally bind the Bidder and must contain a statement that the proposal and the pricing contained therein will remain valid and binding for a period of B days from the date and time of the bid opening.” Please confirm Bidders satisfy this requirement by completing Appendix A. |
| **Answer** | |
| The Bidder satisfies this requirement through the printed name and authorized signature in Appendix A (Proposal Cover Page) and Appendix B (Responsible Bidder Certification). | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **2** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part I, C, Page 8 | The RFP provides an end date for the Initial Period of Performance of 11/30/2025. Are there interim due dates for Tasks 1 – 4, respectively, that should be reflected in the Implementation – Work Plan? |
| **Answer** | |
| The Bidder is encouraged to provide a realistic work plan and timeline for the implementation of the program through the first contract period. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **3** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part I, C, Page 5 | Given that the cost proposal will only cover costs between 12/19/2024 and 11/30/2025 ("Initial Period of Performance"), will the costs for the "Renewal Period #1" (12/01/2025-11/30/2026) be negotiated later separately?  Do you have an anticipated budget for each of the initial period and renewal period? |
| **Answer** | |
| In the event of a contract renewal, costs for additional work will be negotiated separately. The Department is interested in proposals for cost-effective proposals that meet the requirements of the Scope of Work for the initial period of performance. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **4** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| N/A | Can you confirm the total budget for this scope of work?  What is the anticipated funding/budget for this project?  Do you have any guidance on budget? Is there a set range for this project? |
| **Answer** | |
| The Department is interested in proposals for cost-effective proposals that meet the requirements of the Scope of Work. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **5** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part I, A, Page 5 | Our assumption is that this project is funded by FEMA BRIC award EMB-2022-BR-11-0011. The total project cost listed on the [Maine Emergency Management Agency’s website](https://www.maine.gov/mema/mema/grants/mitigation-grants/fema-awards) is $775,357.50. How much of the full grant award is allocated for the scope of work outlined in this RFP?  We see you received a FEMA BRIC award of ~$326,000. Is all of this funding expected to be spent on the project? Is there a budget range in mind? Is additional funding expected for this project beyond FEMA BRIC? |
| **Answer** | |
| The Department is interested in proposals for cost-effective proposals that meet the requirements of the Scope of Work. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **6** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part I, A, Page 5 | Will GOPIF share a copy of the relevant FEMA BRIC application, including the task and budget details? |
| **Answer** | |
| The FEMA BRIC application is available upon direct request to the RFP Coordinator. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **7** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part 1, B, Page 6 | The General Provisions states: *“The Department also reserves the right to consider other reliable references and publicly available information in evaluating a Bidder’s experience and capabilities.”* Are Bidders permitted to submit references or letters of support to GOPIF for consideration? |
| **Answer** | |
| Bidders are not to provide additional attachments beyond those specified in the RFP for the purpose of extending their response. Additional materials not requested will not be considered part of the proposal and will not be evaluated. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **8** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Appendix D, Page 21  Part IV, Section IV, Page 13 | Please confirm if only the total project is required and budgets for each task do not need to be shown?  Please confirm that GOPIF seeks a total budget number with no additional cost details by task in File 4. |
| **Answer** | |
| Confirmed, only the total project cost is required. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **9** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part VI, B2, Page 16 | What type of contract does the State anticipate awarding (e.g., fixed price, time-and-materials, cost reimbursable)?  Is there an expectation for Time & Material or Fixed Price?  Can you please clarify the payment and invoicing schedule? |
| **Answer** | |
| Please refer to the Service Contract Template publicly available on the State of Maine Procurement Services website for more information on contract and payment structure: <https://www.maine.gov/dafs/bbm/procurementservices/forms> | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **10** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| N/A | Are there any BRIC grant reporting requirements the contractor should be aware of when scoping deliverables?  Are there any BRIC compliance or reporting requirements we should be aware of? |
| **Answer** | |
| There are no grant reporting requirements that the contractor needs to be aware of beyond information that is outlined in the RFP. The Department is responsible for BRIC grant reporting. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **11** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| N/A | Is there a page limit for the technical proposal? |
| **Answer** | |
| There is no page limit for the proposal. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **12** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, Page 8 | How many individual departments do you anticipate the consulting team will need to coordinate with? And how many meetings do you anticipate? |
| **Answer** | |
| GOPIF will coordinate engagement with participating state agency representatives to provide the selected Bidder with relevant information. Meetings with the project team and, as necessary, state agency staff are expected. The Bidder may suggest a meeting cadence that accomplishes the tasks requested and fulfils the scope of work. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **13** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, Task 1, Page 8 | Approximately how many assets does the State anticipate will be included in the assessment?  Approximately how many assets and asset types is the client expecting to include in the scope?  Is there an estimate of the number of assets the State holds?  How many assets would the state like to have assessed in detail? |
| **Answer** | |
| GOPIF will coordinate engagement with participating state agency representatives to provide the selected Bidder with relevant state asset information. The selected Bidder is expected to conduct a screening-level assessment for each asset or asset type. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **14** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, A, Page 8 | Buildings, land and infrastructure are listed as examples of property that is owned and leased by the State of Maine. In order for the State of Maine to evaluate cost estimates that are based on equivalent assumptions from all Bidders, will the State of Maine provide a more detailed list of asset types that are owned and leased by the state?  Can you provide a full list of the asset typologies you anticipate being included in the assessment (e.g. office building, maintenance yards, parks, etc.)? And specifically, what asset typologies are you expecting to be included in the infrastructure category?  Are there critical asset types or systems that should be prioritized?  In terms of Scope, what is the status of your existing Inventory of Assets?   * 1. Do you have an approximate count, and if so by category? (buildings, land, other structures?)   2. Do you have GIS data on the known assets?   3. Do you have initial date of service or better, depreciation/amortization information on the known assets - even a date range?   The RFP notes: “Types of assets for this investigation may include owned and leased real property (buildings, land, and infrastructure).” Are there any more specifics on the scope of assets to be analyzed? Is there an existing list to be updated or would the contractor compile the list of assets from the relevant state agencies? |
| **Answer** | |
| GOPIF will provide the selected Bidder with relevant state asset information necessary to support the tasks outlined in the RFP.  This assessment is intended to evaluate the vulnerability of state assets (such as land, buildings, and infrastructure) to climate impacts and provide agency-specific priorities and recommendations. The assessment should consider physical risk to assets and subsequent consequences to the ability of agencies to carry out their missions. The selected Bidder will assist agencies to determine risk-tolerance and criticality for assets or asset types.  The Bidder may suggest processes for managing a screening level assessment of a large number of assets and, if the Bidder desires, the prioritization of a subset of assets for analysis. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **15** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, B, Task 1, Page 8 | We recognize assets were gathered to inform the HMP as captured here: Maine Risk Assessment Map (arcgis.com). Do you anticipate this scope of work to utilize and/or update the assets included this dataset?  Other than the assets mentioned in the HMP and other online platforms, what additional information can be made available to the winning bidder about existing state assets and in what format? Would spatial data be available or a list of asset data that needs to be geocoded for the assessment?  Task 1 includes specific details the inventory should capture per asset (GPS and address location, building type, age, condition (state of repair), primary use, and related public services). For details not included in the Maine Risk Assessment Map, do you understand these details to have already been captured by the relevant state agencies? |
| **Answer** | |
| This scope of work will utilize assets captured in the State Hazard Mitigation Plan, as well as additional asset information provided by state agencies. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **16** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, B, Task 2, Page 8 | Is the client expecting a long term time horizon in addition to those listed in the example in the RFP? |
| **Answer** | |
| The RFP’s planning horizons include present day, 2030, and 2050.The Bidder may suggest additional planning horizons. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **17** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, B, Task 3, Page 8 and 9 | Should this project assume the availability of the MECFRM data in time for analysis, or if not, is there a preferred modeling set to be used as a basis for the assessment?  What is the status of the Maine Coastal Flood Risk Model that MaineDOT is leading, and how will the results of that effort be incorporated into this project?  Will there be data available from the Maine DOT coastal flood hazard modeling contract? |
| **Answer** | |
| Bidders should plan to rely on best available information at the time of the proposal. The Bidder may include their preferred modeling set in the proposal. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **18** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, B, Page 8 | Does GOPIF want this assessment project to integrate the framework and findings from the DOT vulnerability assessment? If so, when will those elements from the DOT vulnerability assessment will be available during this project timeline? |
| **Answer** | |
| It is not necessary for the Bidder to integrate the DOT vulnerability assessment into this project. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **19** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, B3, Page 9 | Within the scope/budget, how much emphasis should be put on identifying community impacts and corresponding adaptive capacity? |
| **Answer** | |
| Objectives of this effort include assessing the climate hazards and impacts to which state assets are exposed, and the consequences for public services of impairment or failure of the assets. Bidders should develop a proposal that addresses the purpose and scope of services outlined in the RFP. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **20** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, B, Task 1, Page 8  Part IV, Section IV, Page 13 | Will determination of the asset “condition” be made by the Bidder or by the participating state agency or both? Is it expected that the bidder will perform on-site evaluations of each asset?  Task 1 on page 8 requires the selected bidder, based on information from participating state agencies, to develop an inventory of state assets including inventory detail. Will site visits be required if the condition (state of repair) or other details are unknown? If site visits may be required, how many should we include in our cost?  Are you anticipating in-person workshops for this assessment? If so, how many?  The RFP does not specify a place of performance. Does the State anticipate the majority of work to be completed remotely? Are there any specific travel requirements anticipated? |
| **Answer** | |
| The Department anticipates that most project tasks may be performed remotely. The Bidder may suggest a framework for agencies to evaluate the condition of assets. The Bidder may suggest a meeting schedule that includes virtual, in-person, or both types of meetings. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **21** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, Task 1, Page 8 | Will GOPIF provide a comprehensive data set to inform CIKR mapping or will the vendor be expected to locate data identified as gaps for GOPIF?  Are there any known gaps in the state asset inventory data? |
| **Answer** | |
| The Department will provide available asset data to fulfill the tasks in the scope of work. If the asset condition or other details are unknown, the Department will work with state agencies to obtain the necessary information, or work with the consulting team to decide how to best reflect any missing data in the report. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **22** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, A, Introduction, Page 8 | Are state-owned roadways included in this scope? |
| **Answer** | |
| State-owned roadways are not included in this scope of work. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **23** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, B, Page 8 | Does GOPIF want this assessment to include an ecological vulnerability assessment of state owned public and conservation lands, as well as vulnerability of park facilities/services?  Should wetlands or other natural resource vulnerabilities be included in the expected analysis? |
| **Answer** | |
| The Bidder should provide a proposal that encompasses the scope of services referenced in Part II of the RFP. This scope is focused on assets defined as real property owned or leased by the State of Maine such as land, buildings, and infrastructure. The Department is looking for vendors to conduct a screening-level assessment of vulnerability and impact for each asset or asset type. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **24** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, B, Page 9 | Are there any existing statewide asset management databases that could be leveraged for this work, either that individual departments use or that all state departments collectively use?  Will the asset data provided be uniformly formatted across agencies (e.g., will the state of good repair use a consistent scale between MEDOT and MEEMA)?  In what format will you be able to provide data? Will it all be in a GIS database, an Excel spreadsheet, a mix of formats, or in another other format? |
| **Answer** | |
| This project will be informed by the 2023 [Maine State Hazard Mitigation Plan](https://www.maine.gov/mema/sites/maine.gov.mema/files/inline-files/Maine_SHMP2023_Final_full.pdf) and the 2024 [Maine Climate Council Scientific and Technical Subcommittee Report](https://www.maine.gov/future/sites/maine.gov.future/files/inline-files/STS_2024_digital.pdf), and related data. One example is the Maine Risk Assessment map, a geodatabase with information on basic critical infrastructure, developed by the Maine Emergency Management Agency. GOPIF and state agencies may supply additional information of facilities in various formats. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **25** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| N/A | We recognize hazard data was gathered to inform the HMP and studied for the Maine Climate Council Scientific and Technical Subcommittee Report. Is this the only hazard data available for the study or do you have additional sources? Would you be interested in / amenable to using private hazard data to supplement the assessment?  Do you have additional information regarding climate hazard impacts to existing assets that were not included in previous planning studies, such as the HMP, that could be made available? |
| **Answer** | |
| The Department encourages Bidders to develop a proposal with best available information based on their expertise in leading climate vulnerability assessments of infrastructure assets and system services. | |
| **26** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| N/A | Are you anticipating community engagement to be included in this scope? |
| **Answer** | |
| This scope does not include community engagement. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **27** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, Task 2, Page 8 | Approximately how many climate impact scenarios is the vendor expected to develop? |
| **Answer** | |
| The Bidder should provide a proposal with the number of climate impact scenarios that is considered best practice from Bidders’ experience. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **28** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, Task 2 & 3, Page 8 | Can you clarify what is meant by "implications of impacts" for Task 2, if Task 3 involves the actual assessment of impacts to assets? |
| **Answer** | |
| In Task 2, “...climate impact scenarios and their implications for the impacts of climate hazards on Maine state assets” means selecting climate projections and developing a narrative about how different scenarios will influence the hazard impact on state assets.  Yes, Task 3 involves the screening level assessment of vulnerability and impact for each asset or asset type. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **29** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, Task 3, Page 9 | Does GOPIF have a specific risk assessment methodology they would like the vendor to use to assess risk or are they looking to the vendor to one of their choosing? |
| **Answer** | |
| The Bidder should suggest a risk assessment methodology that best fits the requests of the RFP and scope of work. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **30** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part I, A, Page 5 | Are you working on a statewide FHWA PROTECT Resilience Improvement Plans, and when would you anticipate that being included? |
| **Answer** | |
| The Department does not anticipate FHWA PROTECT Resilience Improvement Plans being part of the project. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **31** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, B, Page 8 | How does GOPIF plan to coordinate engagement with participating agency representatives throughout the project? Would GOPIF anticipate establishing an interagency committee or using existing agency communication channels to oversee and give input to this project? If so, which channel(s) should we plan to utilize? // Will the project be managed internally by GOPIF staff, an interagency committee, or both? Does GOPIF have preferred channels for involving agencies in this project, such as the Maine Climate Council or other existing agency communication channels? Would GOPIF be open to establishing an interagency committee to oversee and give input to this project? |
| **Answer** | |
| GOPIF will manage relationships with state agencies. The Department may establish a project committee that consists of key personnel from participating agencies. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **32** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, B, Task 4, Page 9 | Under Task 4, the RFP states, “III. The selected Bidder will assist and collaborate with agencies to develop mitigation and adaptation strategies.” Please confirm this is subtask II and the “III” is a clerical error. |
| **Answer** | |
| This is a clerical error. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **33** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, B, Task 4, Page 9 | How many state agencies will the vendor work with to develop their individual mitigation strategies?  What State agencies are included as stakeholders for this contract? Will stakeholder engagement beyond these State agencies be required as part of this contract? |
| **Answer** | |
| All state agencies are expected to participate. GOPIF will coordinate engagement with participating state agency representatives to provide the selected Bidder with relevant information. Meetings with the project team and, as necessary, state agency staff are expected. Stakeholder engagement beyond state agencies is not anticipated in this project. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **34** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part II, B, Page 9 and 10 | What level of detail is expected for the strategies recommended?  What level of specificity (asset vs asset-category recommendations, adaptation cost estimates, etc.) is required from Task 4 outputs? |
| **Answer** | |
| Please see the Scope of Work for a detailed description of the tasks included in this RFP. Bidders should propose their approach to accomplishing the tasks set out in the Scope of Work, including the level of detail and specificity that will be possible. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **35** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part III, A 2, Page 11 | Please confirm Bidders are permitted to submit a redacted copy of their proposal with the file naming convention titled “File 5 [Bidder’s Name] – Redacted Proposal.” |
| **Answer** | |
| Part I, B, 7 of the RFP states: “Following announcement of an award decision, all submissions in response to this RFP will be public records, available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA) ([1 M.R.S. § 401](https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.mainelegislature.org%2Flegis%2Fstatutes%2F1%2Ftitle1sec401.html&data=05%7C02%7CHannah.Silverfine%40maine.gov%7C42e379dc29284d7fce3c08dceeecbe69%7C413fa8ab207d4b629bcdea1a8f2f864e%7C0%7C0%7C638647947795379735%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C0%7C%7C%7C&sdata=onJh0VOlTsCGUQ0IKcNpZWxiC6dA0XS1GbrI1TDKj%2BY%3D&reserved=0) et seq.).” State contracts and information related to contracts, including bid submissions, are generally public records per FOAA.  In the event that a Bidder believes any information that it submits in response to this RFP is confidential, it must mark that information accordingly, and include citation to legal authority in support of the Bidder’s claim of confidentiality. In the event that the Department receives a FOAA request that includes submissions marked as confidential, the Department shall evaluate the information and any legal authority from the Bidder to determine whether the information is an exception to FOAA’s definition of public record.  If the Department determines to release information that a Bidder has marked confidential, it shall provide advance notice to the Bidder to allow for them to seek legal relief. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **36** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part IV, Section IV, Page 13 | Without the number of assets to be considered, or the number of state agencies that will be participating, providing an accurate cost to cover Tasks 1-4 is challenging. Can you provide us with a list of the agencies that we will be assisting in Task 1 and 4, and a number of assets on which to base our pricing? |
| **Answer** | |
| All state agencies are expected to participate. The Bidder may suggest processes for managing a screening level assessment of a large number of assets and, if the Bidder desires, the prioritization of a subset of assets for analysis. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **37** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part IV, Section II, Appendix C, Page 12 | Will GOPIF consider more than three project examples to demonstrate the bidder’s experience? |
| **Answer** | |
| Unsolicited materials may not be considered part of the proposal and may not be evaluated. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **38** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part IV, Page 12 | The RFP states, “Include any forms provided in the submission package or reproduce those forms as closely as possible.” Please confirm Bidders are permitted to use our own proposer-generated / branded template and follow the outline of required responses for the required appendices and forms. |
| **Answer** | |
| Bidders may reproduce those forms as closely as possible. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **39** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| Part IV, Section II, Item 3, Page 13 | The RFP states, “The organizational chart must include the project being proposed. Each position must be identified by position title and corresponding to the personnel job descriptions.” The RFP does not include any personnel job descriptions or labor categories. Please provide this information.  The RFP requests Bidders to provide an organizational chart but does not include a requirement for staffing qualifications such as resumes or biographies for key personnel. Please confirm the State does not want Bidders to provide this information in their proposal.  Would you like resumes of the proposed team in Section II? |
| **Answer** | |
| Resumes are not identified as required material. Qualifications and experience may be addressed in the QUALIFICATIONS and EXPERIENCE FORM provided in Appendix C. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **40** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| N/A | Are there any particular approaches, methodologies, and/or tools that your team would like the contractor to utilize in this effort?  Has your team seen similar reports in other states or regions that you admire or would like to replicate? Or any particular approaches or methodologies you are interested in having the contractor utilize? |
| **Answer** | |
| Please see the Scope of Work for a detailed description of the tasks included in this RFP. Bidders should propose their approach to accomplishing the tasks set out in the Scope of Work and include their expertise developing climate hazard mitigation and adaptation strategies, with emphasis on reducing risk to public services. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **41** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| NA | Can you provide a link to the responses to RFI #202406118? |
| **Answer** | |
| RFI responses are available upon direct request to the RFP Coordinator. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **42** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| N/A | Will the consulting team have access to members of the Maine Climate Council Scientific and Technical Subcommittee during the project? |
| **Answer** | |
| GOPIF will facilitate meetings or connections with the Maine Climate Council Scientific and Technical Subcommittee as needed during the project. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **43** | **RFP Section & Page Number** | **Question** |
| N/A | Does the GOPIF have any restrictions or preferences for project staff located outside of Maine or the US? |
| **Answer** | |
| Consultant staff are expected to be accessible to GOPIF and partners during State of Maine working hours from 8am-5pm ET.  The awarded Bidder is restricted from storing project data outside of the US. The awarded Bidder’s employees may access data outside the US but may not store data locally outside of the US. Concerning data use restrictions, Bidders should refer to State of Maine Department of Administrative & Financial Services Office of Information Technology [System and Services Acquisition Policy and Procedures (SA-1)](https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.maine.gov%2Foit%2Fsites%2Fmaine.gov.oit%2Ffiles%2Finline-files%2FSystemAndServicesAcquisitionPolicy.pdf&data=05%7C02%7CHannah.Silverfine%40maine.gov%7C336be04309534a47a58808dcef74440d%7C413fa8ab207d4b629bcdea1a8f2f864e%7C0%7C0%7C638648529844848586%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C0%7C%7C%7C&sdata=xqRxlgCGUYdJaBDjd7HU8LXRf8e1RNRR8LkWPDMRJ28%3D&reserved=0), and Maine State Government Department of Administrative and Financial Services Office of Information Technology (OIT) [Data Classification Policy](https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fmaine.gov%2Foit%2Fsites%2Fmaine.gov.oit%2Ffiles%2Finline-files%2FDataClassificationPolicy.pdf&data=05%7C02%7CHannah.Silverfine%40maine.gov%7C336be04309534a47a58808dcef74440d%7C413fa8ab207d4b629bcdea1a8f2f864e%7C0%7C0%7C638648529844869699%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C0%7C%7C%7C&sdata=wFvP8rLH4ffpoS6CN%2BeZWpKrlFcJXrAAkKFWF0gnbSM%3D&reserved=0). | |